

# Rationality and Dominated Strategies

Rational players do not play dominated strategies

To obtain rational outcomes of a game - eliminate dominated strategies

For strictly dominated strategies, the order of elimination does NOT matter

It matters for the weakly dominated strategies - some reasonable outcomes are also eliminated

	L	C	R
T	1,2	2,3	0,3
M	2,2	2,1	3,2
B	2,1	0,0	1,0

Order: T, R, B, C  $\rightarrow$  (M, L): 2,2

Order: B, L, C, T  $\rightarrow$  (M, R): 3,2

## Existence of dominant strategies (and DSE)

Coordination game <sup>Not guaranteed!</sup> Football or Cricket?

	L	R
L	1,1	0,0
R	0,0	1,1

	F	C
F	2,1	0,0
C	0,0	1,2

If dominance cannot explain reasonable outcome - Refine the equilibrium concept

## Nash Equilibrium (Nash 1951)

"No player gains by a unilateral deviation"

A strategy profile  $(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*)$  is a pure strategy Nash equilibrium (PSNE) if

$\forall i \in N$  and  $\forall s_i \in S_i$

$$u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*) \geq u_i(s_i, s_{-i}^*).$$

Football or Cricket?

	F	C
F	2, 1	0, 0
C	0, 0	1, 2

A best response view:

A best response of player  $i$  against the strategy profile  $s_{-i}$  of the other players is a strategy that gives the maximum utility, i.e.,

$$B_i(s_{-i}) = \{s_i \in S_i : u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \geq u_i(s_i', s_{-i}), \forall s_i' \in S_i\}$$

PSNE is a strategy profile  $(s_i^*, s_{-i}^*)$  s.t.

$$s_i^* \in B_i(s_{-i}^*), \forall i \in N.$$

PSNE gives stability - once there, no rational player unilaterally deviates

Question: Relationship between SDSE, WDSE and PSNE?