

Allocation of slots in position auctions

Value of an agent $i = P_{a_i}(\hat{\theta}_i \cdot \theta_i) = v_i(a, \theta_i)$

Where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is the allocation, a_i is the slot allocated to i .

Pick allocations $a^* \in \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in A} \sum_{i \in N} v_i(a, \theta_i)$ efficient allocation

Claim: An allocation of slots is efficient iff it is rank-by-expected revenue mechanism.

Proof sketch: maximizing the weighted sum problem. Sum is maximized when maximum weight is put on maximum value.

The slot allocation problem is a sorting problem - hence computationally tractable.

Allocation decision is done, need payments to make it DSIC.

natural candidate: VCG [used in Facebook]

Note: actual implementation in practice might be different. Here we discuss only an abstract notion of how it can be done.

VCG in position auction

Given bids (b_1, \dots, b_n) [note, $\hat{\theta}_i$: reported type and b_i are same]

WLOG ordered such that $\hat{\theta}_1 b_1 > \hat{\theta}_2 b_2 > \dots > \hat{\theta}_n b_n$

allocation a^* is s.t. $a_i^* = i$.

- define $\underline{a}_i^* \in \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in A} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(a, \theta_j)$

note: allocations of the agents after i , i.e., $i+1$ to n get one slot better.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hence } p_i^{VCG}(b) &= \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\underline{a}_i^*, \theta_j) - \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(a^*, \theta_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} p_j(\hat{\epsilon}_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} p_{j+1}(\hat{\epsilon}_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} (p_j - p_{j+1})(\hat{\epsilon}_{j+1}, b_{j+1}), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1 \end{aligned}$$

$$p_n^{VCG}(b) = 0.$$

This is the total expected payment. To convert this to the pay-per-click: $\frac{1}{p_i \hat{\epsilon}_i} p_i^{VCG}(b)$.